

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/780,485	02/12/2001	Junichi Koshiba	Q63128	8114
	90 05/30/2003			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3202			EXAMINER	
			VO, HAI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	7
			DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003	X

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		09/780,485	KOSHIBA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hai Vo	1771			
	The MAILING DATE of this communic					
Period fe	ог керіу					
I HE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty story period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the process of the application to become AR.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>11 <i>March 2003</i></u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 21	o)☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-7</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2 and 8-11 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.				
	on Papers	·				
9)□ -	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.				
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by th	e Examiner.			
_	Applicant may not request that any object					
11) 🔲 🗆	he proposed drawing correction filed o		sapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are requi					
	he oath or declaration is objected to be	y the Examiner.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	 Certified copies of the priority do 	cuments have been received.				
	Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in Ap	plication No			
	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation from the action for the action f	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for o					
_ a)	$\hfill \square$ The translation of the foreign langucknowledgment is made of a claim for	age provisional application has bee	en received.			
Attachment(s)					
2) U Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	·948) 5) Notice of Inf	nmmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Patent and Tra						

Application/Control Number: 09/780,485

Art Unit: 1771

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 2

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Tojo et al (US 4,877,817) substantially as set forth in Paper no. 6.
- 4. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tojo et al (US 4,877,817) in view of Okita et al (US 6,132,847) substantially as set forth in Paper no. 6.
- 5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tojo et al (US 4,877,817) in view of Saito et al (US 6,437,048). Tojo is silent as to a composite comprising a metal salt of acrylic acid. Saito teaches a foam molded article comprising a metal salt of acrylic acid as a nucleating agent to ensure excellent appearance of the molded article (column 28, 35-36, 50-55, 40-42). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 1771

made to employ a metal salt of acrylic acid motivated by the desire to ensure excellent appearance of the foam rubber article.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 03/11/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. The art rejections have been maintained for the following reasons. In the first place, Tojo does disclose the Mooney viscosity of the chlorinated rubber composition which is comprised of an ethylene/alpha-olefin/non-conjugated diene copolymer within the range set out in the present invention (table 1). Second, Tojo teaches the foamed rubber article having a smooth surface and a density of 0.7kg/l, meeting the specific range required by the claims (column 18, lines 13-14). Since Tojo is using the same materials such as an ethylene/alpha-olefin/non-conjugated diene copolymer and a blowing agent such as ADCA or DPT (column 10, lines 34-35) and the same process (blending, heating, molding, and foaming) to form the vulcanizable and foamable rubber (column 10, lines 18-50, it is the examiner's position that the cell diameter, hardness and young modulus would be inherently present. Products of identical chemical composition can not have mutually exclusive properties. In re Spada, 15 USPQ 2d 1655 (1990).

Apllicants argue that a foamed rubber of Tojo with a fine cell diameter can not be obtained by use of a blowing agent having a low decomposition temperature from 140-160°C. This is not found persuasive since Tojo does not limit a blowing agent to be ODSH shown in the example 15 only. Tojo teaches that ADCA or DPT can be

Application/Control Number: 09/780,485

Art Unit: 1771

Page 4

used as a blowing agent as well. Further, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims because nothing specific about the decomposition temperature of the blowing agent has been included in the claims.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (703) 605-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri, 8:30-6:00 and on alternating Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

HV May 20, 2003

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700